

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/783,254 02/20/2004		02/20/2004	Jack Bech Nielsen	10168.204-US 1411		
25908	7590	01/26/2006		EXAMINER		
		RTH AMERIC	TRAN LIEN, THUY			
500 FIFTH A				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK		0110		1761		

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/783,254	NIELSEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lien T. Tran	1761		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lien T. Tran	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notation (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	iffidavit, or other evidence with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of		6-1-1-1-1-1	:-
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on the control of the control	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a		
peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standard in the capture of the character of the shortened standard in the capture of the captur	atutory period for reply originally set in the	final Office action; or (2)	as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 09 January 2006. Ab	rief in compliance with 37 CFR 41	37 must be filed with	in two months of
the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl	, or any extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid di	ismissal of the
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains 			because
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	g the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	f (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amendmen	((10L-02+).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		e, timely filed amendr	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	and be described as the second	Nisting of Ammontwell	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	, ,	axent	LOW
		LIEN TRAN	
		0	۱ س

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the argument is not found to be persuasive. Applicant argues alpha amylase, maltogeni alpha amylase and pullulanase are different classes of enzyme with different action patterns and different end product. This argument is not persuasive. The claims are directed to a method of making an edible product wherein the raw material is treated with the enzymes. Maselli et al disclose the same process. Maltogenic alpha amylase is a species within the alpha amylase enzyme; it can generate maltose; however, regular apha amylase can also generate maltose. Thus, there is not much difference in function between the two enzymes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art select any species within the class of enzyme. Applicant has not shown criticality or unexpected result with respect to the maltogenic alpha amylase..

Continuation of 13. Other: The 112 first paragraph rejection of claim 24 is maintained for reason of record..